price control based on representations received by his Ministry; and

(d) what are the reasons for delay in taking the decisions on these recommendations?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI *M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) The Government has taken decisions on all the major recommendations contained in the Main as well as Supplementary report of the Kelkar Committee.

(b) Two independent decisions, which are as follows, have been taken by the Government under the Drugfa (Prices Control) Order 1987: —

(i) Exemption to small scale sector units from price control in respect of Category II formulations.

(ii) Inclusion of bulk drugs Vitamin 'A' and Vitamin 'C under price control category.

(c) and (d) The recommendations of the Standing Committee for inclu sion of certain bulk drugs in Schedul ed Categories under the Drugs (prices Control) order 1987 are under cons ideration of the Government.

Recovery of charged amounts.

869. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU: CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question 170 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 14th May, 1990 and state;

(a) what are the amounts worked out against various drug companies involved in court cases or under para 7(2) of theDPCO, f979 after the scrutiny was ordered by him;

(b) what were the amounts earler due against each of these companies and what are the revised amounts after review;

(c) what are the amounts recovered from each company after the new Government took over; and

(d) what steps have been taken to expedite the recovery?

THE MINISTER OP PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) and (b) Statement I giving the tentative liabilities as assessed after review and the earlier liabilities is attached *(See* below)

(c) Details of amounts recovered, company-wise, after 1st January, 1990 are given in the attached Statement II (See below)

(d) Recovery proceedings are on In accordance with the due process of law.

Statement-I

(Rupees in lakhs)

S. No.	Name of the Companj	Tentative amount assessed by the Special Team 33-12-1983	Tentative amount assessed upto 31stDecmber upto after review
1	2	3	4
	Supreme Court Cases		
1.	M/s. Pfizer Ltd.	48.21	87.61
2.	M/s. Cyanamid India Limited	389.06	536.91
3.	M/s. Hoechst (India) Ltd.	458.10	3491.05

1	2	3	4
4.	M/s. Anil Starch Products Ltd.	11.61 *	11.61
5.	M/s. John Wyeth (India) Ltd.	133.45	173.02
	M/s. Geoffrey Manners .	28.37	28.37
6.	M/s. Ethnor Limited .	8.15	10.19
7.	M/s. S.G. Pharmaceuticals	114.30	205.36
8.	M/s. Tamil Nadu Dadha Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	15.59	37.97
9.	M/s. Merind Ltd.	138.79	781.58
10.	M/s. Franco Indian Pharmaceuticals Limited	11.02	14.02
11:	M/S. Griffon Ltd.		
	High Court Cases		
1	Glaxo Labs	3700.00	6635.00
1.	uiaxoLaos.	(Assessed upto 25th August, 89)	
	Amounts assessed under para 7(2)		
1.	Lyka Labs. Ltd.		678.73 (upto 25th August, 1987 in respect of bulk drug Fluccino-j lone Acetonide)
2.	Burrough Wellcome . -		441.27 (Upto February, 1984 in respect of Sul phametho xzole).
3.	Boots Company India Ltd.		62.43

(•Assessed but not communicated to the company).

Statement-II

Sl. No.	Name of the Company	Amount deposited after January,1990 (Rs./lakhs)
1.	Parke Davis • .,- .	25.38
2.	German Remedies .	50.09
3.	Nicholas Labs India Ltd.	10.00
4.	Ethnor Ltd.	2.19